

## Federal tax laws provide preferential tax treatment to REITs

May 27, 2008 - Front Section

Federal tax laws provide preferential tax treatment to real estate investment trusts ("REITs"), provided that such entities adhere to a variety of requirements under the Internal Revenue Code. Any missteps in following the rules can be catastrophic, because a non-qualifying entity will lose its tax favored status. In such an event, corporate level tax on the REIT will be triggered, resulting in taxation of REIT income at both the entity and the shareholder levels.

Two chief requirements of REIT status are that a REIT derive 75% of its gross income from rents from real property and that 75% of the value of a REIT's total assets constitute real estate assets, cash and cash items (including receivables and government securities). Two recent developments, one actual and the other potential, should help REITs meet these requirements and maintain their tax favored status.

The IRS recently issued a private letter ruling confirming that real estate intangibles may constitute real estate assets. The IRS addressed the situation in which a REIT purchased a resort hotel for sum that included a goodwill associated with the resort's name. While, on the face of the REIT rules, such goodwill is not a real estate asset, the IRS concluded that the goodwill associated with the resort had no value independent of the actual real estate assets to which it related. Thus, the intangible goodwill qualified as a real estate asset and any rental payments attributable to such intangible would be treated as rent from real property.

In addition, the New York State Bar Association Tax Section sent a letter to the IRS, requesting several updates be made to a safe harbor created in Revenue Procedure 2003-65. The safe harbor allowed loans secured by an interest in a disregarded entity or partnership that owns real estate to be treated as a loan secured by real estate. Many mezzanine or subordinate loans fail to qualify under the technical requirements of the Revenue Procedure 2003-65. Because of this, REITs are steering away from purchasing such loans, which in turn means that market for these mezzanine loans is less liquid. The Tax Section asserts that revising the technical requirements would make such subordinate loans more liquid, because they could be purchased by REITs without fear of jeopardizing their tax favored status. Taxpayers should watch for further developments in this arena.

Amy Naughton is a real estate attorney with Lourie & Culter, Boston, Mass.

New England Real Estate Journal - 17 Accord Park Drive #207, Norwell MA 02061 - (781) 878-4540